**Preparing for Assignments 1 and 2: Paragraph Outline [submit online before the week 3 workshop]**

Refer to the set sources and complete the paragraph outline below in response to the topic:

*one ethical consideration in the design of drones for non-military applications.*

In the week 3 Workshop, you will share your work with a colleague, who will give you feedback (see over the page) about your outline.

Follow the blue template instructions and then delete the instructions. Convert your text to black.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *function* | *content* |
| The issue | **Privacy** |
| Sub-issue 1 | Surveillance |
| Evidence  (paraphrased and referenced, including page numbers) |  |
| Viewpoint for sub-issue 1 | <insert a sentence in propositional form that states what the evidence says about the sub-issue> |
| Sub-issue 2 | Data Security |
| Evidence  (paraphrased and referenced, including page numbers) | * <add or delete dotpoints to fit your evidence> |
| Viewpoint for sub-issue 2 | <insert a sentence in propositional form that states what the evidence says about the sub-issue> |
| Sub-issue 3 (optional) | <insert a noun phrase heading> |
| Evidence  (paraphrased and referenced, including page numbers) | * <add or delete dotpoints to fit your evidence> |
| Viewpoint for sub-issue 3 | <insert a sentence in propositional form that states what the evidence says about the sub-issue> |
| **Viewpoint that covers all the sub-issues identified** | <Express the identified propositions as one overall viewpoint in response to the set topic, in propositional form. If fully written up as a paragraph, this viewpoint would be presented in the paragraph Introduction. Do not write a summary of your discussion.> |

**Peer Feedback [to be completed during the week 3 workshop]**

Comment on whether your colleague has produced an outline that will lead to a paragraph that:

* addresses the topic
* is easy to understand and
* is supported by relevant evidence.

Note any suggestions you have that could help your colleague.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | *Comments* |
| The issue is an ethical consideration in the design of drones for non-military application. |  |
| The chosen sub-issues fit hierarchically and logically under the chosen issue. |  |
| The points for each sub-issue are:   1. relevant to the sub-issue (and also to the issue) 2. related to each other 3. listed in a logical order; and 4. adequate (sufficient) to discuss the sub-issue. |  |
| Check whether any piece of evidence that would be more relevant to use for another sub-issue or issue has crept in. |  |
| Check whether evidence is specific enough. For example,   1. not merely restating the sub-issue in other words 2. not using generalised accounts from the sources without further supporting evidence. |  |
| Accurate and complete citations are given for all use of source information. |  |
| Each sub-issue viewpoint:   1. can be supported by the evidence 2. is a response to the set topic 3. is a propositional statement 4. does not give advice. |  |
| The final viewpoint:   1. accurately reflects the sum of the sub-issue viewpoints 2. is a response to the set topic 3. is a propositional statement 4. does not give advice. |  |

Further comments: